## GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AT PANAJI

CORAM: Shri M. S. Keny, State Chief Information Commissioner

## Appeal No.198-SCIC-2010

Kum. Surekha G. Haldankar, H.No.760/26, Sumangaha Sadan, Wadakade Alto Porvorim, Bardez-goa

··· Appellant.

V/s

1) The Public Information Officer, Goa Antibiotics & Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Tuem, Pernem-Goa

··· Respondent No.1

 The First Appellate Authority, General Manager, Goa Antibiotics & Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Tuem, Pernem-Goa

··· Respondent No.2

## ORDER (15-07-2011)

- 1. By Order dated 08/02/2011 this Commission allowed the Appellant to prove that information furnished is false, incorrect incomplete etc.
- 2. Accordingly inquiry was held and whatever records required were brought and checked.
- 3. According to the Appellant the information furnished is false. The Appellant pointed certain facts such as letter dated 12/04/2008 does not bear inward number nor signature of General Manager etc. Appellant also pointed about name of person who allegedly framing false allegation on complaint dated 07/04/2008 and 10/04/2008 submitted directly to P.I.O. without initial and remark of concerned Manager of Personal Department as no memo was served to the Appellant and date of receipt of complaint by P.I.O., about lodging complaint without allotting work, authorization of M.D. for referring the matter by Shri Kerikar directly to the Board etc.

4. Records were brought and the same were minutely checked and verified. It was found that certain approval, signatures of proper persons etc. were not there so also transfer order regarding work etc. were not there. However whatever has been given tallies with the original records.

Adv. for Respondent No.1 also contends information has been furnished as per records..

From the original records the Appellant pointed a number of discrepancies In short according to her no prescribed procedure has been followed in her case as such she has suffered.

I have perused the records and I do agree that the contention of the Appellant regarding certain matters may be true. Nonetheless fact remains that whatever information was available has been furnished as it is and this meets the requirement under R.T.I. Act.

5. It appears from the tenor of the arguments of the Appellant that action has been taken against her flimsy grounds and without following the set procedure and she pointed the same. The grievance of the Appellant may be genuine, however this Commission is not the proper forum. R.T.I. Act is provider of information held by public Authority. It is not the remedy in seeking rectification of any procedure, lapse etc. for which there are proper authorities. The grievances of the Appellant, if any are to be agitated before the concerned forum.

No doubt certain things as pointed are missing and as per the appellant the same are not in consonance with the set rules may be true. But the same is not in the domain of R.T.I. Act.

6. This Commission even with the best of intentions cannot interfere in a matter which does not come within its purview. Appellant is an employee of Goa Antibiotics & Pharmaceuticals LTd., and there are differences as can be seen from records. It is for the parties to resolve the same and/or for the Appellant to approach an appropriate forum for the redressal of her grievances.

7. In view of all the above, I am of the opinion that information that is provided is as per the records. Hence I pass the following order.

## **ORDER**

Since information is furnished as per records the inquiry is disposed off.

The inquiry is accordingly disposed off.

Pronounced in the Commission on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2011

Sd/-(M.S. Keny) Goa State Information Commissioner

